	Case 1:96-cv-05879-LJO-DLB Document 5	11 Filed 02/02/12 Page 1 of 3
1 2 3 4	CHRISTIAN VOLZ (SBN 139352) cvolz@mckennalong.com REBECCA L. WOODSON (SBN 194191) rwoodson@mckennalong.com MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP 101 California Street 41st Floor	
	San Francisco, CA 94111	
5	Telephone: (415) 267-4000 Facsimile: (415) 267-4198	
6 7	Attorneys for Defendant HERCULES INCORPORATED	
8		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11		
12	DEPARTMENT OF TOXIC SUBSTANCES	CASE NO. CV F 96-5879 LJO DLB
13	CONTROL,	(Consolidated Shafter Cases)
14	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL AND ORDER
15	VS.	FRCP 41(a)(1); Local Rule 143
16	HERCULES INCORPORATED, et al.,	
17	Defendants.	
18	TO THE HONORABLE COURT AND	O ALL PARTIES OF RECORD please take
19	notice of the following stipulation and order:	
20	WHEREAS, on January 14, 1998, the California Department of Toxic Substances	
21	Control ("DTSC") filed its original complaint in the action DTSC v. Brown & Bryant, Inc., et	
22	al., Case No. CIV-F-98-5050 ("DTSC Action") against BNSF Railway Company (formerly The	
23	Atchison Topeka & Santa Fe Railway Company) ("BNSF"), Hercules Incorporated	
24	("Hercules"), and others pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response,	
25	Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. §§ 9601, et seq.	
26	WHEREAS, the Court consolidated the DTSC Action with two earlier CERCLA actions	
27	which had been filed concerning the Brown & Bryant Shafter site: Atchison Topeka & Santa Fe	
28	Railway Co., et al. v. Brown & Bryant, Inc., e	et al., Case No. CIV-F-92-5068; and Atchison

Case 1:96-cv-05879-LJO-DLB Document 511 Filed 02/02/12 Page 2 of 3

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
1	
	0
	1
2	
2	
	4
	1 5
_	. ,

26

27

28

Topeka & Santa Fe Railway Co. v. Hercules Incorporated, et al., Case No. CIV-F-96-5879 ("ATSF v. Hercules Action"), and directed that "all future pleadings should contain ONLY the LEAD CASE NUMBER OF CIV-F-96-5879 OWW DLB (member case 98-5050 OWW)." (These consolidated actions are referred to herein as the "Consolidated Shafter Cases").

WHEREAS, on or about May 6, 1998, BNSF filed its answer and cross-claim in the DTSC Action, and on or about November 9, 1998, BNSF filed its answer to DTSC's Second Amended Complaint and an amended cross-claim. BNSF's amended cross-claim asserted claims against a number of individuals and entities, but not against Hercules.

WHEREAS, on or about October 30, 1998, Hercules filed its answer in the *DTSC Action*, a counterclaim against DTSC, and a cross-claim against BNSF. DTSC answered the counterclaim on November 20, 1998; BNSF answered the cross-claim on November 23, 1998.

WHEREAS, on or about July 23, 1996, BNSF filed its original complaint against Hercules in the *ATSF v. Hercules Action*, and on or about July 21, 1998 filed a First Amended Complaint.

WHEREAS, on or about August 11, 1998, Hercules filed its answer to the First Amended Complaint in the *ATSF v. Hercules Action*, and on or about October 30, 1998, filed a cross-claim against BNSF.

WHEREAS, on January 5, 2012, a Consent Decree entered in the above-entitled matter among DTSC, BNSF, and Hercules was approved by the Honorable Lawrence J. O'Neill, United States District Judge. A true and correct copy of this Consent Decree is attached hereto and incorporated by reference herein as **Exhibit A.**

WHEREAS, pursuant to the terms of Exhibit A, section 11.2.3 BNSF and Hercules Dismissal of Claims, "Upon the Effective Date of this Consent Decree [January 5, 2012], the Court shall dismiss with prejudice: (1) all claims asserted by BNSF against Hercules in the Consolidated Shafter Cases; and (2) all claims asserted by Hercules against BNSF in the Consolidated Shafter Cases."

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between BNSF and Hercules, through their designated counsel, that the claims asserted by Hercules against BNSF

1 in the Consolidated Shafter Cases be and hereby are dismissed with prejudice pursuant to 2 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure Rule 41(a)(1) and Local Rule 143, with BNSF and Hercules 3 each to bear its own costs and attorneys' fees; and IT IS HEREBY FURTHER STIPULATED by and between BNSF and Hercules, 4 5 through their designated counsel, that the claims asserted by BNSF against Hercules in the 6 Consolidated Shafter Cases be and hereby are dismissed with prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule 7 of Civil Procedure Rule 41(a)(1) and Local Rule 143, with BNSF and Hercules each to bear its 8 own costs and attorneys' fees. 9 10 Dated: January 31, 2012 MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP 11 12 By: /s/ Christian Volz CHRISTIAN VOLZ 13 Attorneys for HERCULES INCORPORATED 14 15 Dated: January 31, 2012 BARG COFFIN LEWIS & TRAPP LLP 16 17 By: /s/ Mark Zeppetello MARK ZEPPETELLO 18 Attorneys for BNSF RAILWAY COMPANY 19 20 IT IS SO ORDERED. 21 Dated: **February 2, 2012** /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill 22 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 23 24 25 26 27 28

Case 1:96-cv-05879-LJO-DLB Document 511 Filed 02/02/12 Page 3 of 3